



Biocrusts Modulate Responses of Nitrous Oxide and Methane Soil Fluxes to Simulated Climate Change in a Mediterranean Dryland

Angela Lafuente,^{1*} Jorge Durán,² Manuel Delgado-Baquerizo,³
Jaime Recio,^{4,5} Antonio Gallardo,³ Brajesh K. Singh,^{6,7} and
Fernando T. Maestre^{8,9}

¹Departamento de Biología y Geología, Física y Química Inorgánica, Escuela Superior de Ciencias Experimentales y Tecnología, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, c/Tulipán s/n, 28933 Móstoles, Spain; ²Centre for Functional Ecology, Department of Life Sciences, University of Coimbra, Calçada Martim de Freitas, 3000-456 Coimbra, Portugal; ³Departamento de Sistemas Físicos, Químicos y Naturales, Universidad Pablo de Olavide, 41013 Seville, Spain; ⁴Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería Agronómica, Alimentaria y de Biosistemas, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria, 28040 Madrid, Spain; ⁵Research Center for the Management of Environmental and Agricultural Risks (CEIGRAM), Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain; ⁶Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith, New South Wales 2751, Australia; ⁷Global Centre for Land-Based Innovation, Western Sydney University, Penrith South DC, New South Wales 2751, Australia; ⁸Instituto Multidisciplinar para el Estudio del Medio “Ramón Margalef”, Universidad de Alicante, Carretera de San Vicente del Raspeig s/n, 03690 San Vicente del Raspeig, Alicante, Spain; ⁹Departamento de Ecología, Universidad de Alicante, Carretera de San Vicente del Raspeig s/n, 03690 San Vicente del Raspeig, Alicante, Spain

ABSTRACT

Little is known about the role of biocrusts in regulating the responses of N₂O and CH₄ fluxes to climate change in drylands. Here, we aim to help filling this knowledge gap by using an 8-year field experiment in central Spain where temperature and rainfall are being manipulated (~ 1.9°C warming, 33% rainfall reduction and their combination) in areas with and without well-developed biocrust communities. Areas with initial high cover

of well-developed biocrusts showed lower N₂O emissions, enhanced CH₄ uptake and higher abundances of functional genes linked to N₂O and CH₄ fluxes compared with areas with poorly developed biocrusts. Moreover, biocrusts modulated the responses of gases emissions and related functional genes to warming and rainfall reductions. Specifically, we found under rainfall exclusion and its combination with warming a sharp reduction in N₂O fluxes (~ 96% and ~ 197%, respectively) only under well-developed biocrust cover. Warming and its combination with rainfall exclusion reduced CH₄ consumption in areas with initial low cover of well-developed biocrust, whereas rainfall exclusion enhanced CH₄ uptake only in areas with high initial cover of well-developed biocrusts. Similarly, the combination of warming and rainfall exclusion increased the abundance of the *nosZ* gene compared to the rainfall exclusion treatment and increased the abundance of the *pmoA* gene compared to the control,

Received 22 October 2019; accepted 1 March 2020;
published online 30 March 2020

Electronic supplementary material: The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-020-00497-5>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Author Contributions FTM set up the experiment, designed the field study and wrote the grant that founded the work. AL and JD conducted the fieldwork. AL and JR processed data. BKS provided the facilities for molecular analyses. AL conducted the statistical analyses with the help of JD, MD-B and AG. All authors contributed to data interpretation and manuscript writing.

*Corresponding author; e-mail: ellyon.diebrunnen@gmail.com

but only in areas with low biocrust cover. Taken together, our results indicate that well-developed biocrust communities could counteract the impact of warming and altered rainfall patterns on soil N₂O and CH₄ fluxes, highlighting their importance and the need to preserve them to minimize climate change impacts on drylands.

Key words: biocrust; *denitrifiers*; dryland; methane; *methanotrophs*; nitrous oxide.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Under the combination of rainfall exclusion and warming, biocrusts reduced N₂O fluxes.
- Biocrusts enhanced the rate of CH₄ uptake.
- Soils with high biocrust cover had higher abundances of *pmoA* and *nosZ* genes.

INTRODUCTION

Most efforts to understand the main drivers of soil greenhouse gas (GHG) fluxes under global change scenarios have focused on carbon dioxide (CO₂) (Pachauri and Meyer 2014). Much less is known about other greenhouse gases such as nitrous oxide (N₂O) and methane (CH₄), which have stronger greenhouse effects and can significantly affect feedback responses to climate change (Nakicenovic and Swart 2000; Le Mer and Roger 2001; Soussana and others 2007; Oertel and others 2016). This is especially true for dryland (arid, semiarid and dry subhumid) ecosystems, which cover about 45% of the land surface (Prävälje 2016) and sustain over 40% of human population (Reynolds and others 2007). The exchange of N₂O and CH₄ between the soil and the atmosphere has been traditionally considered of little importance in drylands due to their typically low water and nutrient contents, which limit biological activity (Dalal and Allen 2008). However, over the last two decades multiple studies have reported elevated N₂O fluxes in dryland soils after rainfall pulses (Barton and others 2008, 2013; Zaady and others 2013) and have noted their potential as a relevant global sink of atmospheric CH₄ (Potter and others 1996; Angel and Conrad 2009). Furthermore, the relevance of drylands as a contributor to the global balance of GHG fluxes will increase in the future, as their global extent will likely increase by 11–23% by the end of this century due to climate change (Huang

and others 2016). However, how warming and forecasted changes in rainfall patterns will affect N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in drylands remains poorly studied (Darrouzet-Nardi and others 2015; Guan and others 2019).

Soil N₂O and CH₄ transformations are largely carried out by highly specialized microbial communities. For instance, the N₂O produced in both nitrification and denitrification processes (Firestone and Davidson 1989; Bremner 1997; Canfield and others 2010) is reduced to N₂ by the *nosZ* carrying denitrifiers under anaerobic conditions (Bremner 1997; Canfield and others 2010). In dryland surface soils, aerobic nitrification has been traditionally considered the dominant process (Delgado-Baque-rizo and others 2016). Consequently, the *nosZ* gene (carried by denitrifying bacteria) and the factors affecting its abundance and activity have been poorly studied (Philippot and others 2007; Hallin and others 2018). However, aggregates and precipitation pulses create anaerobic conditions favourable for denitrification in dryland soils, which could represent a temporary sink for atmospheric N₂O, the substrate used by *nosZ* denitrifiers (Austin and others 2004; Ley and others 2018; Wang and others 2019). Likewise, under aerobic conditions (dominant in dryland soils), CH₄-oxidizing bacteria use the CH₄ monooxygenase (encoded by the *pmoA* gene) to oxidize CH₄, constituting the only biological sink for atmospheric CH₄ (Dalal and Allen 2008; Conrad 2009). Previous experiments and observational studies (Nazaries and others 2013; Powell and others 2015) have shown strong relationships between the abundance of *nosZ/pmoA* genes and GHG fluxes, and consequently, functional genes have been used to predict these fluxes (Nazaries and others 2013; Powell and others 2015). Unfortunately, most of our knowledge on *nosZ* and *pmoA* genes comes from mesic ecosystems (Nazaries and others 2013; Powell and others 2015; Martins and others 2017; but see Martins and others 2015, Lafuente and others 2019), and we lack studies evaluating the changes in their abundance under global change scenarios in drylands.

Biocrusts, soil surface communities composed by lichens, mosses, liverworts, fungi, algae, cyanobacteria and other microorganisms, are a key biological component of dryland ecosystems worldwide (Weber and others 2016). Biocrusts regulate a myriad of key soil biotic and abiotic properties and processes (Eldridge and others 2010; Aschenbach and others 2013; Maestre and others 2013; Zaady and others 2013; Felde and others 2014), and are home to particular soil microbial communities (Steven and others 2013; Delgado-

Baquerizo and others 2018). However, and to the best of our knowledge, no previous field studies have experimentally evaluated how biocrusts influence soil N₂O and CH₄ fluxes under simulated climate change. Such studies are needed not only to advance our understanding of climate change impacts on drylands, where biocrusts are a prevalent biotic feature, but also to provide relevant data to refine simulation models employed to forecast future N₂O and CH₄ fluxes across dryland biomes.

Herein, we used an 8-year (2008–2016) warming and rainfall manipulation experiment located in central Spain (Maestre and others 2013) to investigate: (1) the effects of simulated climate change (~ 1.9°C warming and ~ 33% rainfall reduction) on soil N₂O and CH₄ fluxes and the abundance of *nosZ* and *pmoA* functional genes; (2) whether these effects are modulated by biocrusts; and (3) the relationships between N₂O and CH₄ fluxes and the abundance of *nosZ* and *pmoA* functional genes, respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Site

This experiment was conducted in the Aranjuez Experimental Station (central Spain; 40° 01' 55.7'' N–3° 32' 48.3'' W; 590 m.a.s.l.; for more details on this experimental station see <http://maestrelab.blogspot.com/2013/05/the-aranjuez-experimental-station.html>). Its climate is Mediterranean semiarid, with average annual temperature and rainfall of 15°C and 358 mm, respectively (data available since 1977 from the Aranjuez Meteorological Station, 40° 04' N–3° 32' W; 540 m.a.s.l.). Soils are gypsum-derived (Gypsic Leptosols, WRB 2006). Organic carbon (C), total nitrogen (N) and pH vary among the considered microsites (that is, areas with low and high biocrust cover) between 1.8–5.0%, 0.14–0.44% and 6.6–7.2, respectively. Vegetation is dominated by *Macrochloa tenacissima* (L.) Kunth (18% of total cover), *Retama sphaerocarpa* (L.) Boiss and *Helianthemum squamatum* Pers. (6% of total cover for both shrubs). Open areas between vascular plants are partially covered with a well-developed biocrust community dominated by lichens such as *Diploschistes diacapsis* (Ach.) Lumbsch, *Squamarina lentigera* (Weber) Poelt, *Fulgensia subbracteata* (Nyl.) Poelt, *Toninia sedifolia* (Scop.) Timdal and *Psora decipiens* (Hedw.) Hoffm, which covers about 34% of the soil surface (see Maestre and others 2013 for a full species checklist).

Experimental Design

A detailed description of the experimental design can be found in Escolar and others 2012. Briefly, we established a fully factorial experimental design with three factors, each with two levels: warming (control vs. ~ 1.9°C soil temperature increase), rainfall exclusion (control vs. 33% rainfall reduction) and biocrust cover (< 25% vs. > 50% of lichens and mosses; hereafter low and high biocrust cover, respectively). To simulate warming, we used 40 × 50 × 32 cm hexagonal open top chambers (OTCs) made of methacrylate, which were elevated 5 cm from the surface to avoid overheating (Figure S1a). To intercept rainfall, we built a 1.2 × 1.2 × 1 m metallic frame supporting three V-shaped methacrylate gutters that cover about 37% of the surface (Figure S1b). Warming and rainfall exclusion treatments were set up in July and November 2008, respectively (see Maestre and others 2013 for additional details). Ten replicates per combination of treatment were established, resulting in 80 experimental plots. Our warming treatments (warming and its combination with rainfall exclusion) significantly increased soil temperatures by about 1.6°C and 2.3°C, respectively, compared to control plots (average of the 2008–2019 period). Our rainfall exclusion (RE) shelters excluded on average about 33% of the incoming rainfall (2008–2013 period).

At each plot we inserted a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) ring (diameter = 20 cm, height = 7 cm) 5 cm into the ground at the start of the experiment for measuring GHG fluxes and monitoring changes in biocrust cover. Well-developed biocrust cover (that is, lichens and mosses) was estimated annually using high-resolution pictures since the set-up of the experiment in 2008, as detailed in Maestre and others (2013). Values obtained using these pictures are highly correlated with those obtained with in situ surveys (Ladrón de Guevara and others 2018).

Soil moisture (0–5 cm) and temperature (0–2 cm) were monitored every 2.5 h and 0.5 h, respectively, in all treatments in a subset of the plots using EC-5 soil moisture (Decagon Devices Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) and HOBO[®] TMC20 (Onset Corporation, Bourne, MA, USA; Figs. S2 and S3) sensors.

Greenhouse Gas Exchange Measurements

We estimated soil–atmosphere N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in seven replicates per combination of treatments using the static chamber method (Bowden and

others 1990). From March 2015 to May 2016, 14 sampling campaigns were carried out approximately once a month. Immediately before each measurement, a 20-cm-diameter and 9-cm high PVC chamber was placed on top of each of the 56 permanent rings and sealed with a rubber band. Each chamber had a sampling port in the top centre that allowed air sampling and was covered with reflective material to thermally isolate it during the measurement. Gas samples were collected at 0, 30 and 60 min after chamber closure using a needle attached to a polypropylene syringe, transferred to 22 ml pre-vacuumed vials and kept at room temperature until analysis. We estimated N₂O and CH₄ concentrations in the gas samples using a HP-6890 gas chromatograph (GC), equipped with a headspace autoanalyzer (HT3) (Agilent Technologies, Barcelona, Spain), a ⁶³Ni electron capture detector (for N₂O) and a flame ionization detector fitted with a methanizer (for CH₄ detection). The carrier gas used was helium.

Soil Sampling and Analyses

Soil samples (0–2 cm) were collected five times during the study period (June, September and November 2015 and February and April 2016) from five replicates per combination of treatments. Each soil sampling always matched one of the gas measurement campaigns. Visible biocrusts (that is, lichens and mosses) were removed when present, and then, soils were stored in –20°C for DNA extractions.

Total genomic DNA was extracted from 0.6 g of frozen soil using the PowerSoil DNA Isolation kit (MOBIO Laboratories, Inc. USA) according to manufacturer's protocol but with a slight modification during the cell lysis step (we used a tissue homogenizer [Precellys 24-dual, Bertin technologies, France] at a speed of 4500 rpm for 45 s, twice). DNA extractions yields ranged from 0.1 to 132.6 ng/μl, with an average of 6 ± 15 ng/μl (mean \pm standard deviation, $n = 5$). The abundances of *nosZ* and *pmoA* genes were determined using nosZ2f/nosZ2r (Henry and others 2006) and pmo189f/pmo650r (Bourne and others 2001) primers, respectively. All primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (Australia). Each sample was quantified (in duplicate) in a total volume of 10 μl using a Bio-Rad C1000 Touch thermal cycler CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). The reaction mixture contained 1 μl of DNA template (2 ng/μl; those samples with a concentration < 2 ng/μl were not diluted in sterilised water), 5 μl of SensiFast Sybr

No-Rox Mix (2x) (Bioline, Australia), 0.3 μl of each primer (0.4 mM) and 0.4 μl of BSA (0.4 mg/ml). Thermal cycling conditions and primer sequences can be found in Table S1. The *nosZ* gene was cloned with pGEM-T Easy Vector kit according to manufacturer's instructions (Promega, Madison, USA) and transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain JM109 to perform calibration curves. The *pmoA* gene calibration curves were made from genomic DNA (*Methylosinus trichosporium*). Melt curve analyses were performed in each assay to verify the specificity of the amplicon products. Gene copy number per g dry soil normalized to extraction yield was calculated for both genes.

Statistical Analyses

We estimated N₂O and CH₄ fluxes as described in Durán and others (2013) and reported them as changes in milligrams or micrograms (for N₂O) per square metre per day. In more than 90% of the cases, the increases in N₂O and CH₄ emissions were linear ($R^2 > 0.7$). Nonlinear rates were discarded, and imputation of missing rates (per treatment) was performed using the missForest algorithm in the R package missForest (Stekhoven and Bühlmann 2012), which iteratively fills missing values in all columns of a data frame based on predictions from random forest models. For the iteration, we included the averaged soil moisture and temperature matching the treatment, date and time of the sampling. We estimated the 2.3% and 6.8% of the N₂O and CH₄ rates analysed in this study, respectively.

We first tested the effects of warming, rainfall exclusion and biocrust cover (that is, low and high biocrust cover in the ring when the experiment was established in 2008) on N₂O and CH₄ fluxes and soil microbial gene abundance (*nosZ* and *pmoA* functional genes) with a repeated-measures general linear mixed-effects model. We also included the rate of change in the biocrust cover over time (in %) as a covariate in the models to control for the observed changes in biocrust cover since the set-up of the plots in 2008 (described in detail in Ladrón de Guevara and others 2018). As multiple interactions between initial biocrust cover and the climate change treatments were found (Table 1), we tested the effect of warming and rainfall exclusion (alone and combined) separately for low and high biocrust cover plots using the same model. These analyses were carried out using the function *lmer* in the R package *lmer4* (Bates and others 2015). Differences of least squares means for the factors of the mixed-effects model were calcu-

Table 1. Linear Mix Model of the Effect of Climate Change Treatments on N₂O and CH₄ Fluxes ($n = 7$) and Functional Gene Abundances ($n = 5$)

	N ₂ O			CH ₄			nosZ			pmoA						
	Num	Den	F	Num	Den	F	Num	Den	F	Num	Den	F				
			P			P			P			P				
Warming	1	51.19	0.55	0.461	1	52.96	6.88	0.011	1	31.60	0.61	0.440	1	32.13	3.30	0.079
Rainfall exclusion	1	47.60	1.56	0.218	1	48.45	0.42	0.522	1	30.42	0.10	0.755	1	30.66	0.00	0.986
BSC	1	51.29	1.37	0.248	1	53.08	9.22	0.004	1	31.10	9.56	0.004	1	31.68	12.87	0.001
WA:RE	1	47.60	0.53	0.471	1	48.45	1.28	0.263	1	30.37	1.81	0.188	1	30.67	0.03	0.854
WA:BSC	1	50.13	0.02	0.896	1	51.61	0.66	0.419	1	30.66	0.10	0.753	1	31.08	0.94	0.339
RE:BSC	1	47.67	12.02	0.001	1	48.55	7.78	0.007	1	30.10	0.00	0.952	1	30.39	0.07	0.793
WA:RE:BSC	1	47.64	1.89	0.176	1	48.51	1.48	0.230	1	30.08	6.20	0.018	1	30.40	1.23	0.276
ΔBSC	1	72.47	0.16	0.686	1	84.66	2.66	0.106	1	40.22	0.23	0.637	1	41.33	0.62	0.436

$P < 0.05$ values are given in bold.

The rate of change in the biocrust cover over time (Δ BSC, in %) has been included in the models as a covariate to control for the observed changes in biocrust cover since the set-up of the plots in 2008. WA: warming, RE: rainfall exclusion, BSC: biocrust cover, Num: numerator degrees of freedom, Den: denominator degrees of freedom.

lated using the function *diffsmeans* in the R package *lmerTest* (Kuznetsova and others 2017) with no p value adjustment. We compared differences in gas fluxes and gene abundances between the two levels of biocrust cover (low and high), with the Student's t test. Methane fluxes and soil microbial gene abundances were log transformed prior to analyses to improve normality. All statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software 3.4.0 (R Core Team 2017). Data are available on Figshare (Lafuente and others 2020).

RESULTS

Effects of Simulated Climate Change on N₂O and CH₄ Fluxes

Nitrous oxide fluxes were very low in all cases, ranging on average from -10 to $20 \mu\text{g m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$, and had a high temporal variability (Figures S4a, b and 1A, B). These fluxes did not differ between biocrust cover levels (Figure 1A, B; $p = 0.14$; Figure S5a). Biocrusts, however, regulated the responses of N₂O emissions to warming and rainfall exclusion. In low biocrust cover plots, these climatic manipulations reduced N₂O fluxes (vs. control) in March, April and early July, and increased them in late July and September (Figure S4a). However, in high cover plots we observed sharp reductions in N₂O fluxes in the rainfall exclusion and warming + rainfall exclusion treatments as compared with the control plots ($\sim 96\%$ and 197% , respectively; Figure 1B, $p < 0.05$, Table S2).

Methane fluxes were also low and negative (that is, CH₄ uptake) in most cases and ranged on average from -1.66 to $-1.22 \text{mg m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$ (Figures S4c, d and 1C, D). The CH₄ uptake was higher in high (vs. low) biocrust cover plots ($p < 0.01$; Figure S5b). The response of CH₄ fluxes to warming and rainfall exclusion was very variable throughout the study period and was modulated by biocrust cover (Figure 1C, D). All climate change treatments tended to decrease CH₄ uptake in low biocrust cover plots, although these differences were only found in the warming and warming + rainfall exclusion treatments (Table S2, Figure 1C). However, in high biocrust cover plots, only warming reduced CH₄ uptake (Table S2, Figure 1D).




Figure 1. N₂O (**A, B**) and CH₄ (**C, D**) fluxes estimated in areas with low (*left*) and high (*right*) initial biocrust cover across the climate change treatments evaluated, the *horizontal line* shows the mean ($n = 98$). The width of *shaded area* in the violin plot represents the kernel probability density (proportion of the data located there). *Different letters* indicate differences in pairwise comparisons among treatments by differences of least square means ($p < 0.05$). WA:RE, warming and rainfall exclusion combined.

Effects of Climate Manipulation on the Abundances of *nosZ* and *pmoA* Genes

Both *nosZ* and *pmoA* genes were more abundant in high than in low biocrust cover plots ($p < 0.05$; Figure S5c, d). As found with N₂O and CH₄ fluxes, we observed a marked variability in *nosZ* and *pmoA* gene abundance throughout the experiment (Figure S6), as well as important differences in their responses to warming and rainfall exclusion treatments depending on biocrust cover (Figures S6 and 2).

The averaged abundances of the *nosZ* gene ranged from 2.4×10^5 to 7.8×10^7 copy number g dry soil⁻¹ (Table S3, Figure 2A, B). Warming, rainfall exclusion and their combination reduced the abundance of the *nosZ* gene (vs. the control) in the September sampling. In low biocrust cover plots, its overall abundance was higher at the warm-

ing + rainfall exclusion treatment than at the rainfall exclusion treatment (Table S3, Figure 2A). We did not find any relationship between N₂O fluxes and *nosZ* gene abundance ($R^2 = 0.00$; $p = 0.91$ and $R^2 = 0.02$; $p = 0.07$ in low and high biocrust cover plots, respectively).

On average, the *pmoA* gene abundance ranged from 1.6×10^4 to 8.3×10^5 copy number g dry soil⁻¹ (Figure 2C, D). The combination of warming and rainfall exclusion led to an overall increase in the abundance of the *pmoA* gene, but only in the low biocrust cover plots (Figure 2C, D; Table S3). A positive relation between CH₄ fluxes and the abundance of the *pmoA* gene was observed in the warming + rainfall exclusion plots, but only in low biocrust cover plots ($R^2 = 0.13$; $p = 0.04$).




Figure 2. Log-transformed abundances of *nosZ* (**A**, **B**) and *pmoA* genes (**C**, **D**) in areas with low (*left*) and high (*right*) initial biocrust cover across the climate change treatments evaluated, the *horizontal line* shows the mean ($n = 25$). The width of *shaded area* in the violin plot represents the kernel probability density (proportion of the data located there). *Different letters* indicate differences in pairwise comparisons among treatments by differences of least square means ($p < 0.05$). WA:RE, warming and rainfall exclusion combined.

DISCUSSION

Our study provides novel experimental evidence that biocrusts are key regulators of the responses of N_2O and CH_4 fluxes and associated functional genes to climate change drivers. Biocrusts regulated the temporal patterns of N_2O and CH_4 fluxes and their response to our climate change treatments. For instance, and despite being highly variable in space and time, the combination of warming and rainfall exclusion led to a sharp reduction (197%) in average N_2O fluxes, but only in areas with high biocrust cover. Biocrusts also mitigated reductions in CH_4 uptake observed under the combination of warming and rainfall exclusion. These results highlight the importance of considering biocrusts when assessing ecosystem responses to climate change in drylands and when estimating future GHG fluxes from soils in these ecosystems, which

are forecasted to cover more than 50% of the terrestrial surface by the end of this century (Huang and others 2016).

Our results suggest that projected changes in temperature and precipitation will likely modify the capacity of dryland soils to exchange N_2O with the atmosphere. More importantly, these findings indicate that such responses depend on the degree of biocrust development. Although in low biocrust cover areas rainfall exclusion (and its combination with warming) tended to increase N_2O emissions throughout the study period, this treatment promoted a sharp decrease in these emissions when well-developed biocrust communities were present. Furthermore, soils at high biocrust cover plots were a net N_2O sink under the combination of warming and rainfall exclusion. These results highlight the ability of biocrusts to mitigate the effects of climate change on N_2O emissions, but also

the importance of considering the interactions among different climate change drivers when evaluating potential future GHG emissions (Figure S4b). Interestingly, and despite the reductions in biocrust cover induced by warming over the years in our experiment (Ladrón de Guevara and others 2018), we still found a sharp reduction in N₂O fluxes in the rainfall exclusion and its combination with warming treatments. Such a result suggests a strong legacy effect of biocrusts on soil functioning, similar to that reported in other mesic ecosystems with plants (Meisner and others 2013), and further highlights the importance of these communities on driving the responses of drylands to climate change drivers.

Climate change effects on N₂O fluxes are highly variable due to the key importance of climatic factors such as soil temperature and moisture as drivers of GHG emissions (Dijkstra and others 2012; Zhou and others 2016). Warming, and the associated increases in soil temperature, could enhance the metabolism of nitrifiers and denitrifiers, boosting N₂O emissions (Dalal and others 2003) (Figure S3). However, and particularly in drylands, climate change-driven reductions in soil moisture (either associated with warming or due to decreases in precipitation) can limit microbial metabolism and thus reduce atmospheric N₂O emissions (Chapuis-Lardy and others 2007). Overall, our rainfall exclusion and warming treatments promoted soil drying, as shown in Escolar and others (2012) and Maestre and others (2013). A detailed analysis of soil moisture changes after rainfall events in our experiment showed how biocrusts increased water gains after rainfall events but enhanced soil desiccation after rainfall pulses (Lafuente and others 2018). Thus, the reductions in water availability due to our climate change treatments, particularly in areas with high biocrust cover, might explain the decreases in N₂O emissions observed in these plots (Figure S2). Alternatively, nutrient availability is also often highlighted as a key driver of dryland N₂O fluxes (Dalal and Allen 2008; Dijkstra and others 2013). Under aerobic conditions and high availability of N substrate (that is, NH₄⁺), nitrification is expected to dominate over denitrification (Weier and others 1993; Dalal and others 2003), which have been reported to lead to an accumulation of inorganic N forms in global drylands (Delgado-Baquerizo and others 2016). More importantly, we have found in our experiment that warming + rainfall exclusion treatments often lead to an accumulation of inorganic N in low (not in high) biocrust cover plots (Delgado-Baquerizo and others 2014). Similarly, previous

studies at our experimental site have found a higher potential nitrification rate and available NO₃⁻ in bare soil areas compared to areas with well-developed biocrusts (Castillo-Monroy and others 2010), where DON is the dominant N form (Delgado-Baquerizo and others 2010). Thus, in drylands, having a well-developed biocrust community could be linked to a lower accumulation of inorganic N (Delgado-Baquerizo and others 2014), therefore limiting the availability of substrate for the denitrification process and ultimately reducing N₂O fluxes to the atmosphere from incomplete denitrification leaks (Dalal and Allen 2008).

It is important to highlight the importance of the selected denitrification gene studied. Under aerobic conditions, nitrification produces N₂O as a by-product (Bremner 1997; Canfield and others 2010), a process that is expected to be important in drylands given their reported relatively high mineralization rates. However, denitrification is an anaerobic multistep process that also produces N₂O (Firestone and Davidson 1989). Anaerobic soils are not dominant in drylands, but favourable conditions for denitrification can be created in soil aggregates or after precipitation pulses (Austin and others 2004; Ley and others 2018). The last step of the denitrification pathway consists on the conversion of N₂O into N₂, a step catalysed by the nitrous oxide reductase codified by the functional gene *nosZ* (Philippot and others 2007). Consequently, the *nosZ* gene has been used to estimate N₂O fluxes (Powell and others 2015). Our climate change treatments had no detectable effects on this gene regardless the initial biocrust cover considered. However, the abundance of the *nosZ* gene tended to increase in the warming and rainfall exclusion treatments only in high biocrust cover plots (Figure 2A, B). This may also help explain, at least partially, the average lower rates of N₂O observed in these plots throughout the whole study. However, we cannot obviate that (1) we have evaluated functional genes at DNA level, and consequently, we cannot know whether this gene is being expressed or not; and (2) the primers used, which fail to amplify *nosZ* clade II gene (Jones and others 2013), have recently been described to be abundant in soils and thus an important contributor to N₂O fluxes (Domeignoz-Horta and others 2016; Stein 2017; Hallin and others 2018).

Our climate change treatments consistently and relatively reduced CH₄ uptake, as found in another study carried out in a semiarid grassland (Dijkstra and others 2013). Methane oxidation requires gas diffusivity to provide atmospheric CH₄ to soil methanotrophs, a step catalysed by the CH₄

monoxygenase codified by the *pmoA* gene (Dalal and Allen 2008). In more mesic ecosystems, decreased soil moisture would improve gas diffusivity, increase soil aeration and CH₄ oxidation. However, drylands are water-limited ecosystems, so further reductions in soil moisture by our climate change treatments might have limited the activity of CH₄-oxidizing bacteria (Schnell and King 1996; Galbally and others 2008; Sullivan and others 2013) (Figures S2 and S3). Similarly, increased temperatures have been described previously to drive changes in the community composition of CH₄-oxidizing bacteria (Mohanty and others 2007), which can also help to explain the differences in CH₄ uptake observed among treatments (Nazaries and others 2013). Interestingly, we observed a positive correlation between changes in biocrust cover during the lifetime of our experiment and CH₄ uptake. Put simply, the loss of cover through time observed in high biocrust cover plots (Ladrón de Guevara and others 2018) was linked to decreases in CH₄ uptake (Figure S7). Methane oxidation is very sensitive to changes in temperature and more importantly in moisture, changes related to water stress and gas diffusivity (Smith and others 2000). Thus, it is likely that the known changes exerted by biocrusts in soil properties and processes (Barger and others 2016; Chamizo and others 2016; Weber and others 2016) might have improved the environmental conditions (for example, mitigating water and heat stress) for methanotrophs or changed the CH₄-oxidizing bacterial community, affecting CH₄ uptake, even in deeper layers where most CH₄ uptake occurs (Butterbach-Bahl and Papen 2002).

The abundance of the *pmoA* gene was higher in high than in low biocrust cover plots. The well-known positive impacts of biocrusts on soil fertility (Weber and others 2016) could underlie this increase in microbial abundance (Maestre and others 2011; Barger and others 2016), which in turn might have contributed, at least partially, to increase the overall CH₄ uptake observed during the entire duration of this study (Le Mer and Roger 2001). However, and in contrast with a previous study carried out in an Australian forest that found correlated gene abundances and GHG emissions (Martins and others 2016), we could not find a relationship between the overall abundance of the *pmoA* gene and overall CH₄ uptake. Methane uptake depends on the balance between gas diffusivity and metabolic stress (Luo and others 2013). Thus, our results can be the consequence of microbial activity limitation due to water stress. Indeed, in low biocrust cover plots, we detected an increase in *pmoA* abundance in the warm-

ing + rainfall exclusion treatment (Figure 2C). Despite such increase, this treatment did not show enhanced CH₄ uptake, which supports that reductions in soil moisture could have limited microbial metabolism. Alternatively, we cannot discard that the interference of soil NH₄⁺, which competes with CH₄ for the methane monoxygenase (King and Schnell 1994), could be behind the observed lack of correlation between *pmoA* abundance and CH₄ uptake.

Together, our findings highlight how biocrusts are essential regulators of soil–atmosphere N₂O and CH₄ fluxes and their responses to simulated climate change, directly and indirectly by improving soil environmental conditions (that is, reducing water and heat stress) for N₂O reducers and methanotrophs. They also show that functional microbial abundance (that is, *nosZ* and *pmoA* carrying bacteria) can also be highly variable in time, providing evidence for seasonal patterns in these functionally important bacterial communities. Our results also illustrate how biocrusts affect temporal patterns in the fluxes of N₂O and CH₄ and associated functional genes. On average, the “biocrust legacy” reduced the rate of N₂O emissions, increased the rate of CH₄ uptake and increased the abundance of both *nosZ* and *pmoA* genes. More importantly, biocrusts mitigated the reductions in CH₄ uptakes observed under the combination of warming and rainfall exclusion treatments. Our findings emphasize the importance of well-developed biocrust communities to mitigate the impacts of warming and altered rainfall patterns on the emission of GHG fluxes from dryland soils, and thus the need to preserve them to minimize the negative consequences of ongoing climate change and to maintain ecosystem functioning in a warmer and drier world.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Daniel Encinar, Beatriz Gozalo, Miriam Navarro and Victoria Ochoa, for their help with field campaigns, Ana Ros, Chanda Trivedi and Pankaj Trivedi for their help with laboratory analyses and David S-Pescador for his help with the processing of raw data. A. L. is supported by a FPI fellowship from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (BES-2014-067831). M.D-B. acknowledges support from the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme H2020-MSCA-IF-2016 under REA Grant Agreement No. 702057 (CLIMIFUN) and the BES Grant Agreement No. LRA17\1193 (MUSGONET). J.D acknowledges

support from the Fundação para Ciência e Tecnologia (IF/00950/2014) and the FEDER, within the PT2020 Partnership Agreement and COMPETE 2020 (UID/BIA/04004/2013). This research was supported by the European Research Council (ERC Grant Agreements 242658 [BIOCOM] and 647038 [BIODESERT]), by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (BIOMOD project, ref. CGL2013-44661-R and AGL2015-64582-C3-3-R project) and by the Comunidad de Madrid and European Structural and Investment Funds (AGRISOST-CM S2013/ABI-2717). F.T.M. acknowledges support from Generalitat Valenciana (BIOMORES project, ref. CIDEGENT/2018/041). B.K.S. research on the topic of biodiversity and ecosystem functions is funded by Australian Research Council (DP170104634).

REFERENCES

- Angel R, Conrad R. 2009. In situ measurement of methane fluxes and analysis of transcribed particulate methane monooxygenase in desert soils. *Environ Microbiol* 11:2598–610.
- Aschenbach K, Conrad R, Reháková K, Angel R, Brodie EL, Berkeley L, Chin KK, State G. 2013. Methanogens at the top of the world: occurrence and potential activity of methanogens in newly deglaciated soils in high-altitude cold deserts in the Western Himalayas. *Front Microbiol* 4:1–14.
- Austin AT, Yahdjian L, Stark JM, Belnap J, Porporato A, Norton U, Ravetta DA, Schaeffer SM. 2004. Water pulses and biogeochemical cycles in arid and semiarid ecosystems. *Oecologia* 141:221–35.
- Barger NN, Weber B, Garcia-Pichel F, Zaady E, Belnap J. 2016. Patterns and controls on nitrogen cycling of biological soil crusts. In: Weber B, Büdel B, Belnap J, Eds. *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*. Cham: Springer. p 257–85.
- Barton L, Gleeson DB, Maccarone LD, Zúñiga LP, Murphy DV. 2013. Is liming soil a strategy for mitigating nitrous oxide emissions from semi-arid soils? *Soil Biol Biochem* 62:28–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.02.014>.
- Barton L, Kiese R, Gatter D, Butterbach-bahl K, Buck R, Hinz C, Murphy DV. 2008. Nitrous oxide emissions from a cropped soil in a semi-arid climate. *Glob Chang Biol* 14:177–92.
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J Stat Softw* 67:1–48.
- Bourne DG, McDonald IR, Murrell JC. 2001. Comparison of pmoA PCR primer sets as tools for investigating methanotroph diversity in three Danish soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 67:3802–9.
- Bowden RD, Melillo JM, Steudler PA, Aber JD. 1990. Effects of nitrogen additions on annual nitrous oxide fluxes from temperate forest soils in the northeastern United States. *J Geophys Res* 95:13997–4005. <https://doi.org/10.1029/91JD00151>.
- Bremner JM. 1997. Sources of nitrous oxide in soils. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 49:7–16.
- Butterbach-Bahl K, Papen H. 2002. Four years continuous record of CH₄-exchange between the atmosphere and untreated and limed soil of a N-saturated spruce and beech forest ecosystem in Germany. *Plant Soil* 240:77–90.
- Canfield DE, Glazer AN, Falkowski PG. 2010. The evolution and future of Earth's nitrogen cycle. *Science* (80-) 330:192–6.
- Castillo-Monroy AP, Maestre FT, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Gallardo A. 2010. Biological soil crusts modulate nitrogen availability in semi-arid ecosystems: insights from a Mediterranean grassland. *Plant Soil* 333:21–34.
- Chamizo S, Belnap J, Eldridge DJ, Cantón Y, Malam Issa O. 2016. The role of biocrusts in arid land hydrology. In: Weber B, Büdel B, Belnap J, Eds. *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. p 321–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_17
- Chapuis-Lardy L, Wrage N, Metay A, Chotte JL, Bernoux M. 2007. Soils, a sink for N₂O? A review. *Glob Chang Biol* 13:1–17.
- Conrad R. 2009. The global methane cycle: recent advances in understanding the microbial processes involved. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 1:285–92.
- Dalal RC, Allen DE. 2008. Greenhouse gas fluxes from natural ecosystems. *Aust J Bot* 56:369–407. <http://www.publish.csiro.au/?paper=BT07128>
- Dalal RC, Wang W, Robertson G, Parton W. 2003. Nitrous oxide emission from Australian agricultural lands and mitigation options, a review. *Aust J Soil Res* 41:165–95.
- Darrrouzet-Nardi A, Reed SC, Grote EE, Belnap J. 2015. Observations of net soil exchange of CO₂ in a dryland show experimental warming increases carbon losses in biocrust soils. *Biogeochemistry* 126:363–78.
- Delgado-Baquerizo M, Castillo-Monroy AP, Maestre FT, Gallardo A. 2010. Plants and biological soil crusts modulate the dominance of N forms in a semi-arid grassland. *Soil Biol Biochem* 42:376–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.11.003>.
- Delgado-Baquerizo M, Maestre FT, Eldridge DJ, Bowker MA, Jeffries TC, Singh BK. 2018. Biocrust-forming mosses mitigate the impact of aridity on soil microbial communities in drylands: observational evidence from three continents. *New Phytol* 220:824–35.
- Delgado-Baquerizo M, Maestre FT, Escolar C, Gallardo A, Ochoa V, Gozalo B, Prado-Comesaña A. 2014. Direct and indirect impacts of climate change on microbial and biocrust communities alter the resistance of the N cycle in a semiarid grassland. *J Ecol* 102:1592–605.
- Delgado-Baquerizo M, Maestre FT, Gallardo A, Eldridge DJ, Soliveres S, Bowker MA, Prado-Comesaña A, Gaitán J, Quero JL, Ochoa V, Gozalo B, García-Gómez M, García-Palacios P, Berdugo M, Valencia E, Escolar C, Arredondo T, Barraza-Zepeda C, Boeken BR, Bran D, Cabrera O, Carreira JA, Chaieb M, Conceição AA, Derak M, Ernst R, Espinosa CI, Florentino A, Gatica G, Ghiloufi W, Gómez-González S, Gutiérrez JR, Hernández RM, Huber-Sannwald E, Jankju M, Mau RL, Miriti M, Moneris J, Morici E, Muchane M, Naseri K, Pucheta E, Ramírez E, Ramírez-Collantes DA, Romão RL, Tighe M, Torres D, Torres-Díaz C, Val J, Veiga JP, Wang D, Yuan X, Zaady E. 2016. Human impacts and aridity differentially alter soil N availability in drylands worldwide. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 25:36–45.
- Dijkstra FA, Morgan JA, Follett RF, Lecain DR. 2013. Climate change reduces the net sink of CH₄ and N₂O in a semiarid grassland. *Glob Chang Biol* 19:1816–26.
- Dijkstra FA, Prior SA, Runion GB, Torbert HA, Tian H, Lu C, Venterea RT. 2012. Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and

- increased temperature on methane and nitrous oxide fluxes: evidence from field experiments. *Front Ecol Environ* 10:520–7.
- Domeignoz-Horta LA, Putz M, Spor A, Bru D, Breuil MC, Hallin S, Philippot L. 2016. Non-denitrifying nitrous oxide-reducing bacteria—an effective N₂O sink in soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 103:376–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.09.010>.
- Durán J, Rodríguez A, Morse JL, Groffman PM. 2013. Winter climate change effects on soil C and N cycles in urban grasslands. *Glob Chang Biol* 19:2826–37.
- Eldridge DJ, Bowker MA, Maestre FT, Alonso P, Mau RL, Papadopoulos J, Escudero A. 2010. Interactive effects of three ecosystem engineers on infiltration in a semi-arid Mediterranean grassland. *Ecosystems* 13:499–510.
- Escolar C, Martínez I, Bowker MA, Maestre FT. 2012. Warming reduces the growth and diversity of biological soil crusts in a semi-arid environment: implications for ecosystem structure and functioning. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 367:3087–99.
- Felde VJMNL, Peth S, Uteau-Puschmann D, Drahorad S, Felix-Henningsen P. 2014. Soil microstructure as an under-explored feature of biological soil crust hydrological properties: case study from the NW Negev Desert. *Biodivers Conserv* 23:1687–708.
- Firestone MK, Davidson EA. 1989. Microbiological basis of NO and N₂O production and consumption in soil. *Exch Trace Gases Betw Terr Ecosyst Atmos* 47:7–21.
- Galbally IE, Kirstine WV, Meyer CP, Wang YP. 2008. Soil-atmosphere trace gas exchange in semiarid and arid zones. *J Environ Qual* 37:599.
- Guan C, Li X, Zhang P, Li C. 2019. Effect of global warming on soil respiration and cumulative carbon release in biocrust-dominated areas in the Tengger Desert, northern China. *Soil Sediments*. 19:1161–70.
- Hallin S, Philippot L, Löffler FE, Sanford RA, Jones CM. 2018. Genomics and ecology of novel N₂O-reducing microorganisms. *Trends Microbiol* 26:43–55.
- Henry S, Bru D, Stres B, Hallet S, Philippot L. 2006. Quantitative detection of the *nosZ* gene, encoding nitrous oxide reductase, and comparison of the abundances of 16S rRNA, *narG*, *nirK*, and *nosZ* genes in soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72:5181–9.
- Huang J, Yu H, Guan X, Wang G, Guo R. 2016. Accelerated dryland expansion under climate change. *Nat Clim Chang* 6:166–71. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2837>.
- Jones CM, Graf DRH, Bru D, Philippot L, Hallin S. 2013. The unaccounted yet abundant nitrous oxide-reducing microbial community: a potential nitrous oxide sink. *ISME J* 7:417–26. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.125>.
- King GM, Schnell S. 1994. Effect of increasing atmospheric methane concentration on ammonium inhibition of soil methane consumption. *Nature* 370:282–4.
- Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB. 2017. lmerTest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. *J Stat Softw* 82:1–26.
- Ladrón de Guevara M, Gozalo B, Raggio J, Lafuente A, Prieto M, Maestre FT. 2018. Warming reduces the cover, richness and evenness of lichen-dominated biocrusts but promotes moss growth: insights from an 8 yr experiment. *New Phytol* 220:811–23.
- Lafuente A, Berdugo M, Ladrón de Guevara M, Gozalo B, Maestre FT. 2018. Simulated climate change affects how biocrusts modulate water gains and desiccation dynamics after rainfall events. *Ecology* 99:e1935.
- Lafuente A, Durán J, Recio J, Gallardo A, Singh BK, Maestre FT (2020) Data from article “Biocrusts modulate responses of nitrous oxide and methane soil fluxes to simulated climate change in a Mediterranean dryland”. Figshare. <https://figshare.com/s/137aabd34825df5f09d6>
- Lafuente A, Bowker MA, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Durán J, Singh BK, Maestre FT. 2019. Global drivers of methane oxidation and denitrifying gene distribution in drylands. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 28:1230–43.
- Le Mer J, Roger P. 2001. Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by soils: a review. *Eur J Soil Biol* 37:25–50.
- Ley M, Lehmann MF, Niklaus PA, Luster J. 2018. Alteration of nitrous oxide emissions from floodplain soils by aggregate size, litter accumulation and plant–soil interactions. *Biogeosciences* 15:7043–57.
- Luo GJ, Kiese R, Wolf B, Butterbach-Bahl K. 2013. Effects of soil temperature and moisture on methane uptakes and nitrous oxide emissions across three different ecosystem types. *Biogeosci Discuss* 10:927–65.
- Maestre FT, Bowker MA, Cantón Y, Castillo-Monroy AP, Cortina J, Escolar C, Escudero A, Lázaro R, Martínez I. 2011. Ecology and functional roles of biological soil crusts in semi-arid ecosystems of Spain. *J Arid Environ* 75:1282–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2010.12.008>.
- Maestre FT, Escolar C, Ladrón de Guevara M, Quero JL, Lázaro R, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Ochoa V, Berdugo M, Gozalo B, Gallardo A. 2013. Changes in biocrust cover drive carbon cycle responses to climate change in drylands. *Glob Chang Biol* 19:3835–47.
- Martins CSC, Macdonald CA, Anderson IC, Singh BK. 2016. Feedback responses of soil greenhouse gas emissions to climate change are modulated by soil characteristics in dryland ecosystems. *Soil Biol Biochem* 100:21–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.05.007>.
- Martins CSC, Nazaries L, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Macdonald CA, Anderson IC, Hobbie SE, Venterea RT, Reich PB, Singh BK. 2017. Identifying environmental drivers of greenhouse gas emissions under warming and reduced rainfall in boreal-temperate forests. *Funct Ecol* 31:2356–68.
- Martins CSC, Nazaries L, Macdonald CA, Anderson IC, Singh BK. 2015. Water availability and abundance of microbial groups are key determinants of greenhouse gas fluxes in a dryland forest ecosystem. *Soil Biol Biochem* 86:5–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.03.012>.
- Meisner A, De Deyn GB, de Boer W, van der Putten WH. 2013. Soil biotic legacy effects of extreme weather events influence plant invasiveness. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110:9835–8.
- Mohanty SR, Bodelier PLE, Conrad R. 2007. Effect of temperature on composition of the methanotrophic community in rice field and forest soil. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 62:24–31.
- Nakicenovic N, Swart R. 2000. Special report on emissions scenarios (SRES). A Special Report on Working Group III. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. IPCC, Geneva.
- Nazaries L, Pan Y, Bodrossy L, Baggs EM, Millard P, Murrell JC, Singh BK. 2013. Microbial regulation of biogeochemical cycles: evidence from a study on methane flux and land use change. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 79:4031–40.
- Oertel C, Matschullat J, Zurba K, Zimmermann F, Erasmi S. 2016. Greenhouse gas emissions from soils. A review. *Chem Erde Geochim* 76:327–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemer.2016.04.002>.

- Pachauri RK, Meyer LA. 2014. IPCC, 2014: climate change 2014: synthesis report. Contribution of working groups I.
- Philippot L, Hallin S, Schloter M. 2007. Ecology of denitrifying prokaryotes in agricultural soil. *Adv Agron* 96:249–305.
- Potter CS, Davidson EA, Verchot LV. 1996. Estimation of global biogeochemical controls and seasonality in soil methane consumption. *Chemosphere* 32:2219–46.
- Powell JR, Welsh A, Hallin S. 2015. Microbial functional diversity enhances predictive models linking environmental parameters to ecosystem properties. *Ecology* 96:1985–93.
- Prävälje R. 2016. Drylands extent and environmental issues. A global approach. *Earth Sci Rev* 161:259–78.
- R Core Team. 2017. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. <https://www.r-project.org/>.
- Reynolds JF, Stafford Smith DM, Lambin EF, Turner BLI, Mortimore M, Batterbury SPJ, Downing TE, Dowlatabadi H, Fernández RJ, Herrick JE, Huber-Sannwald E, Jiang H, Lee-mans R, Lynam T, Maestre FT, Ayarza M, Walker B. 2007. Global desertification: building a science for dryland development. *Science* (80-) 316:847–51.
- Schnell S, King GM. 1996. Responses of methanotrophic activity in soils and cultures to water stress. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 62:3203–9.
- Smith KA, Dobbie KE, Ball BC, Bakken LR, Sitaula BK, Hansen S, Brumme R, Borken W, Christensen S, Priemé A, Fowler D, Macdonald JA, Skiba U, Klemetsson L, Kasimir-Klemetsson A, Degórska A, Orlanski P. 2000. Oxidation of atmospheric methane in Northern European soils, comparison with other ecosystems, and uncertainties in the global terrestrial sink. *Glob Chang Biol* 6:791–803.
- Soussana JF, Allard V, Pilegaard K, Ambus P, Amman C, Campbell C, Ceschia E, Clifton-Brown J, Czobel S, Domingues R, Flechard C, Fuhrer J, Hensen A, Horvath L, Jones M, Kasper G, Martin C, Nagy Z, Neftel A, Raschi A, Baronti S, Rees RM, Skiba U, Stefani P, Manca G, Sutton M, Tubaf Z, Valentini R. 2007. Full accounting of the greenhouse gas (CO₂, N₂O, CH₄) budget of nine European grassland sites. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 121:121–34.
- Stein LY. 2017. Resolving N₂O respiration pathways: a tale of two NosZ clades. *Environ Microbiol* 19:4806–7.
- Stekhoven DJ, Buehlmann P. 2012. MissForest-non-parametric missing value imputation for mixed-type data. *Bioinformatics* 28:112–18.
- Steven B, Gallegos-Graves LV, Belnap J, Kuske CR. 2013. Dryland soil microbial communities display spatial biogeographic patterns associated with soil depth and soil parent material. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 86:101–13.
- Sullivan BW, Selmants PC, Hart SC. 2013. Does dissolved organic carbon regulate biological methane oxidation in semi-arid soils? *Glob Chang Biol* 19:2149–57.
- Wang B, Brewer PE, Shugart HH, Lerdau MT, Allison SD. 2019. Soil aggregates as biogeochemical reactors and implications for soil-atmosphere exchange of greenhouse gases—a concept. *Glob Chang Biol* 25:373–85. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14515>.
- Weber B, Burkhard B, Belnap J. 2016. Biological soil crusts as an organizing principle in drylands. Berlin: Springer.
- Weier KL, Doran JW, Power JF, Walters DT. 1993. Denitrification and the dinitrogen/nitrous oxide ratio as affected by soil water, available carbon, and nitrate. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 57:66.
- Zaady E, Groffman PM, Standing D, Shachak M. 2013. High N₂O emissions in dry ecosystems. *Eur J Soil Biol* 59:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2013.08.004>.
- Zhou Y, Hagedorn F, Zhou C, Jiang X, Wang X, Li M-H. 2016. Experimental warming of a mountain tundra increases soil CO₂ effluxes and enhances CH₄ and N₂O uptake at Changbai Mountain, China. *Sci Rep* 6:21108.